EE 508

Lecture 32

Transconductor Design

Review from Last Lecture Transconductor Design



Transconductor-based filters depend directly on the g_m of the transconductor

Feedback is not used to make the filter performance insensitive to the transconductance gain

Linearity and spectral performance of the filter strongly dependent upon the linearity of the transconductor

Often can not justify elegant linearization strategies in the transconductors because of speed, area, and power penalties

Review from Last Lecture Signal Swing and Linearity



Review from Last Lecture

Linearity of Amplifiers



Strongly dependent upon linearity of transconductance of differential pair

Review from Last Lecture

How linear is the amplifier ?



Review from Last Lecture What input range is possible when using the tail current to program the OTA ?





$$g_m = \mu C_{OX} \frac{W}{L} V_{EB} == \sqrt{I_T} \sqrt{\mu C_{OX} \frac{W}{L}}$$

- Input signal swing decreases linearly with decreases in g_m
- One decade reduction in g_m results in one decade decrease in signal swing
- One decade reduction in g_m requires two decade decrease in I_T
- Very limited tail current programmability with basic MOS OTA

Review from Last Lecture Signal Swing and Linearity of Bipolar Differential Pair



Review from Last Lecture What input range is possible when using the tail current to program the OTA ?





- Input signal swing not affected by I_T
- Multi-decade adjustment of g_m with I_T without degrading signal swing

Signal Swing and Linearity Summary

- Signal swing of MOSFET can be rather large if $V_{\rm EB}$ is large but this limits gain
- Signal swing of MOSFET degrades significantly if $V_{\rm EB}$ is changed for fixed W/L
- Bipolar swing is very small but independent of g_m
- Multiple-decade adjustment of bipolar g_m is practical
- Even though bipolar input swing is small, since gain is often very large, this small swing does usually not limit performance in feedback applications

Does the MOS or BJT transconductor have larger input signal swing?



Depends upon how much adjustment range is desired





$$I_{0} = I_{1} - I_{2}$$

$$I_{1} = \beta_{1} (V_{G} - V_{X} - V_{Tn})^{2}$$

$$I_{1} = \beta_{2} (V_{X} - V_{in} + V_{Tp})^{2}$$

$$I_{2} = \beta_{3} (V_{in} - V_{Y} - V_{Tn})^{2}$$

$$I_{2} = \beta_{4} (V_{Y} + V_{G1} + V_{Tp})^{2}$$

Taking the square root of the two I_1 equations

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta_1}}\sqrt{I_1} = (V_G - V_X - V_{Tn})$$
$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta_2}}\sqrt{I_1} = (V_X - V_{in} + V_{Tp})$$

Adding these two equations, we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta_2}} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta_1}} \end{pmatrix} \sqrt{I_1} = \left(V_G - V_{in} + V_{Tp} - V_{Tn} \right)$$

Similarly, for the last two equations, obtain $\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta_3}} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta_4}} \right) \sqrt{I_2} = \left(V_{G1} + V_{in} + V_{Tp} - V_{Tn} \right)$



 $I_{0} = I_{1} - I_{2}$ $\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta_{2}}} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta_{1}}}\right)\sqrt{I_{1}} = \left(V_{G} - V_{in} + V_{Tp} - V_{Tn}\right)$ $\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta_{3}}} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta_{4}}}\right)\sqrt{I_{2}} = \left(V_{G1} + V_{in} + V_{Tp} - V_{Tn}\right)$

Squaring the last two equations we obtain

$$I_{1} = \beta_{5} \left(V_{G} - V_{in} + V_{Tp} - V_{Tn} \right)^{2}$$
$$I_{2} = \beta_{6} \left(V_{G1} + V_{in} + V_{Tp} - V_{Tn} \right)^{2}$$

Define



Equating the difference to I₀, we obtain $I_{0} = (\beta_{5} - \beta_{6}) V_{in}^{2}$ $+ V_{in} (2\beta_{5} [V_{Tn} - V_{Tp} - V_{G}] + 2\beta_{6} [V_{Tn} - V_{Tp} + V_{G1}])$ $+ \beta_{5} [V_{Tp} - V_{Tn} + V_{G}]^{2} - \beta_{6} [V_{Tp} - V_{Tn} + V_{G1}]^{2}$



$$I_{0} = (\beta_{5} - \beta_{6}) V_{in}^{2}$$

+ $V_{in} (2\beta_{5} [V_{Tn} - V_{Tp} - V_{G}] + 2\beta_{6} [V_{Tn} - V_{Tp} + V_{G1}])$
+ $\beta_{5} [V_{Tp} - V_{Tn} + V_{G}]^{2} - \beta_{6} [V_{Tp} - V_{Tn} + V_{G1}]^{2}$

If size devices so that $\beta_5 = \beta_6$ and $V_G = V_{G1}$, this simplifies to

$$\mathbf{I}_{0} = \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{in}} \left(4\beta_{5} \left[\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{Tn}} - \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{Tp}} - \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{G}} \right] \right)$$

Note this behaves as a linear transconductor !

$$g_{\rm m} = 4\beta_5 \left[V_{\rm Tn} - V_{\rm Tp} - V_{\rm G} \right]$$

- Since both M₂ and M₃ are driven, this is a power-efficient method for generating a given g_m
- Behavior will degrade with bulk-dependent threshold voltages of n-channel devices
- Would like to generate $V_{\rm G}$ and $V_{\rm G1}$ independent of $V_{\rm DD}$

Bias Generators

Bias voltage generators are widely used to bias cascode devices and other transistors in an IC

Key goal is often to have bias voltages independent of V_{DD} to avoid coupling supply noise into linear circuits

Potential Bias Generators

Consider the following four circuits:



Potential Bias Generators



- If g_o is neglected, it can be shown that all devices are operating in the saturation region, the output voltages are independent of V_{DD}
- Note all have a positive feedback loop !

•

Regenerative Feedback Loops Can Provide Some Very Useful Properties but Can Also Offer Some Surprises !!

Theorem: If the small signal loop gain of the positive feedback loop is less than unity at an equilibrium point of the return map, then the equilibrium point is a stable equilibrium point and if the loop gain is larger than unity at an equilibrium point the equilibrium point is an unstable equilibrium point.

Consider the Inverse Widlar Bias Generator



Can be viewed as two common-source amplifiers in a loop



Same observation about the other 3 structures

V_{DD} Independent Bias Generators

Consider the two Inverse Widlar bias generators (start-up ckts not shown)



Assuming all devices in saturation,

$$V_{02} = V_{Tn} + \frac{\theta}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\theta V_{Tn}}{2} + \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^2}$$
$$V_{01} = \frac{\theta}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\theta V_{Tn}}{2} + \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^2}$$
$$-\sqrt{\frac{2L_2}{\mu_n C_{OX} W_2 R_1}} \sqrt{V_{Tn} + \frac{\theta}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\theta V_{Tn}}{2} + \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^2}}$$

where $\theta = \frac{2L_1}{M_{54}R_1\mu_nC_{OX}W_1}$ and M_{54} is the $M_5:M_4$ mirror gain Note: Outputs V_{DD} independent !





V_{DD} Independent Bias Generators

Consider the two Inverse Widlar bias generators (start-up ckts not shown)



Must still check for stationarity of operating point, stability, and start-up

Consider Inverse Widlar with Transistor M₃ first





V_{DD} Independent Bias Generators

Check for stationarity of operating point



- Observe loop gain is always less than 1
 So it is a viable circuit for a bias generated
- So it is a viable circuit for a bias generator

V_{DD} Independent Bias Generators Check for stability



- Circuit has 3 poles
- May use RH criteria
- If unstable, adjust one of the capacitors

V_{DD} Independent Bias Generators



Must have single intersection point (desired point) with slope at unity gain crossing less than 1 over PVT variations

Add/modify startup circuit if necessary (usually necessary with this structure)

Consider Inverse Widlar with Transistor Resistor





V_{DD} Independent Bias Generators

Check for stationarity of operating point



 $A_{LOOP} = \left(1 + \frac{V_{01}}{V_{01} - V_{-}}\right) > 1$

 $v_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathsf{O2}}$

 $v_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathsf{O1}}$

- Observe loop gain is always larger than 1 •
- So it not a viable circuit for a bias generator •

Basic Bias Generator Circuits

Only two of these circuits are useful directly as bias generators!







$$I_{D1} = \beta (V_1 - V_{S1} - V_T)^2$$

$$I_{D2} = \beta (V_2 - V_{S2} - V_T)^2$$

$$V_{S1} - I_{D1}R_{S1} = V_{S2} - I_{D2}R_{S2}$$

$$I_{D1} + I_{D2} = I_T$$

With a straightforward analysis, we obtain the expression

$$V_{\rm d} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta}} \left(\sqrt{I_{\rm T} - I_{\rm D1}} - \sqrt{I_{\rm D1}} \right) + R_{\rm S} \left(I_{\rm T} - 2I_{\rm D1} \right)$$

The first term on the right is the nonlinear term of the original source coupled pair and the second is linear in I_{D1}

The larger the second term becomes, the more linear the transfer characteristics are



$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta}} \left(\sqrt{I_{\rm T} - I_{\rm D1}} - \sqrt{I_{\rm D1}} \right) + R_{\rm S} \left(I_{\rm T} - 2I_{\rm D1} \right) = V_{\rm d}$$

The transconductance of this structure can be readily derived to obtain

$$g_{m} = \frac{\partial V_{d}}{\partial I_{D1}} \Big|_{Q-pt}^{-1} = \left[\sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta}} \bullet \frac{1}{2} \left(-\left(I_{T} - I_{D1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - I_{D1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) - 2R_{S} \right] \Big|_{Q-pt}^{-1}$$

This can be expressed as

$$g_{m} = \frac{\partial V_{d}}{\partial I_{D1}} \Big|_{Q-pt}^{-1} = -\frac{1}{\left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta I_{T}}} + 2R_{S}\right]} = -\frac{\beta V_{EB}}{1 + 2\beta V_{EB}R_{S}}$$



There are a host of transconductance linearization strategies that have been discussed in the literature

Some are shown below

Many are strongly dependent upon a precise square-law model of the MOS devices and do not provide practical solutions when the devices are not square-law devices

Analysis or simulation with a more realistic model is necessary to validate linearity and practical applications of these structures

How good is the square-law model that we have been using for predicting filter performance?

It is reasonably good when analyzing structures whose linearity characteristics are not strongly dependent upon the device model

The circuits considered to date are not particularly linear so the square-law model probably does a pretty good job of predicting their performance

More accurate models are usually unwieldy for hand analysis



Fig. 1 Linearised CMOS transconductance circuit

ELECTRONICS LETTERS 3rd July 1986 Vol. 22 No. 14



From CAS 2006 P 811 Jose Silva



Linearity Enhancement with Source Degeneration





Linearization with active source degeneration

CMOS transconductance amplifiers, architectures and active filters: a tutorial

E.Sánchez-Sinencio and J.Silva-Martínez

Abstract: An updated version of a 1985 tutorial paper on active filters using operational transconductance amplifiers (OTAs) is presented. The integrated circuit issues involved in active filters (using CMOS transconductance amplifiers) and the progress in this field in the last 15 years is addressed. CMOS transconductance amplifiers, nonlinearised and linearised, as well as frequency limitations and dynamic range considerations are reviewed. OTA-C filter architectures, current-mode filters, and other potential applications of transconductance amplifiers are discussed.



Linearity compensation with cross-coupled feedback

Single-ended input TAs



Differential input OTAs





Differential input and output OTAs





There is invariably a parasitic capacitance associated with every terminal of every element in a filter

These parasitic capacitances can be significant in integrated filters

These can be combined into a single parasitic capacitance on each node



A floating node is a node that is not connected to either a zeroimpedance element or across a null-port

Floating nodes are generally avoided in integrated filters because the parasitic capacitances on the floating nodes usually degrades filter performance and often increases the order of the filter

Some filter architectures inherently have no floating nodes, specifically, most of the basic integrator-based filters have no floating nodes







No floating nodes !

Signal Swing in OTA Circuits

The signal swing for the basic bipolar OTA is limited to a few mV for reasonably linear operation

This limited signal swing limits the use of the OTA

The following circuit (with maybe a 100:1 or more attenuation) can be used to increase the input signal swing to the volt range and although it involves quite a few more components, the functionality can be most significant

Program range is not affected by adding the attenuators



R. L. Geiger and E. Sánchez-Sinencio, "Active Filter Design Using Operational Transconductance Amplifiers: A Tutorial," IEEE Circuits and Devices Magazine, Vol. 1, pp.20-32, March 1985.

Active Filter Design Using Operational Transconductance Amplifiers: A Tutorial

Randall L. Geiger and Edgar Sánchez-Sinencio

Programmable Filter Structures

It will be assumed that the transconductance gain can be programmed with either a dc current or a dc voltage



Programmable Filter Structures



Programmable First-Order High-Pass Filter





Stay Safe and Stay Healthy !

End of Lecture 32